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Nuclear Shape, Mechanics, and Mechanotransduction

Kris Noel Dahl, Alexandre J.S. Ribeiro, Jan Lammerding

Abstract—In eukaryotic cells, the nucleus contains the genome and is the site of transcriptional regulation. The nucleus is the largest and stiffest organelle and is exposed to mechanical forces transmitted through the cytoskeleton from outside the cell and from force generation within the cell. Here, we discuss the effect of intra- and extracellular forces on nuclear shape and structure and how these force-induced changes could be implicated in nuclear mechanotransduction, ie, force-induced changes in cell signaling and gene transcription. We review mechanical studies of the nucleus and nuclear structural proteins, such as lamins. Dramatic changes in nuclear shape, organization, and stiffness are seen in cells where lamin proteins are mutated or absent, as in genetically engineered mice, RNA interference studies, or human disease. We examine the different mechanical pathways from the force-responsive cytoskeleton to the nucleus. We also highlight studies that link changes in nuclear shape with cell function during developmental, physiological, and pathological modifications. Together, these studies suggest that the nucleus itself may play an important role in the response of the cell to force. (Circ Res. 2008;102:1307-1318.)
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Mechanotransduction describes the molecular mechanisms by which cells respond to changes in their physical environment by translating mechanical stimuli into biochemical signals. These mechanical changes or stimuli can be either forces exerted on the cell from the extracellular environment such as compression, tension, and fluid shear stress, or intracellular forces arising from cellular responses to changes in extracellular matrix stiffness. For example, cells are able to adjust their internal stiffness to the stiffness of the extracellular matrix, clearly indicating mechanical feedback between the cell and its environment.1 In many cases, force responses are acute and may only transiently affect the cytoskeleton and local focal adhesions or intracellular messengers such as calcium concentration. However, mechanotransduction often refers to long-term phenotypic changes in the cell, commonly arising from mechanically induced changes in gene expression. Cells can sense mechanical stimulation and changes in their physical environment through force-induced conformational changes on the molecular level, but many of the molecular mechanisms are still incompletely understood. Extracellular forces can stimulate stretch sensitive ion channels, activate integrins and other focal adhesion proteins, modify concentration and conformation of cytoskeletal crosslinking proteins and myosin,2 or reorder the cytoskeleton through conformational changes in the actin, intermediate filament, or microtubule structures (see Janmey and McCulloch3 and Vogel and Sheetz4 for recent reviews).

For many mechanotransduction events, the downstream cellular pathways for force-sensed gene transcription, eg, the activation of the transcription factors, have been well characterized. Opening of stretch sensitive ion channels can result in changes in intracellular ion concentrations, most commonly calcium, inside the cell both by ion influx and by release of ions from intracellular stores.5 These changes in ion flux are widespread among cellular populations and can have
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Different downstream effects including activation of signaling pathways that lead to changes in gene transcription. Similarly, cytoplasmic proteins can directly or indirectly affect transcription following activation of integrins, reorganization of cytoskeletal cross-linking proteins, or force-induced changes in cytoskeletal conformation and/or organization. Transcription factors, such as nuclear factor (NF)-κB, translocate from the cytoplasm to the nucleus on mechanical stimulation, and protein cascades such as the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade can activate transcription factors following cytoskeletal events.5

There are other more recently discovered examples in which gene transcription is affected both by cytoskeletally activated elements as well as nuclear proteins associated with nucleoskeletal structure. R-Smad proteins, which are activated by ligand binding to transforming growth factor-β, in turn, interact with a nuclear organizational protein MAN-1.4 Loss of the nuclear envelope proteins lamin A and C can result in impaired NF-κB-regulated transcription.7 The cell cycle regulator and tumor suppressor retinoblastoma protein (pRb) interacts with nucleoplasmic lamin binding proteins and lamin A,8,9 and expression levels of lamin A/C correlate with the DNA binding and transcriptional activity of activating protein (AP)-1, which, in turn, affects cellular proliferation.10 Aside from these and other lamin-dependent changes in gene transcription in the nucleus, there are many other hypothesized mechanisms correlating nuclear shape to a mechanotransduction response of cells.

The nucleus itself has been proposed to act as a cellular mechanosensor, with changes in nuclear shape causing conformational changes in chromatin structure and organization and directly affecting transcriptional regulation. This review concentrates on alterations in nuclear structure associated with induced mechanical force, independent of any chemical signals from the cytoplasm. To this end, we describe the structural, load-bearing, and force-sensitive components of the nucleus and review studies of their mechanical properties. We then discuss the proposed mechanisms for force transmission between the extracellular matrix, the cytoskeleton, and the nucleus and how the induced changes in nuclear shape and structure can modulate cellular signaling and function to adapt to the altered physical environment of the cell.

Structural Components of the Nucleus

The cell nucleus can be structurally and functionally divided into at least 2 separate regions, the nuclear envelope and the nuclear interior. The nuclear envelope consists of 2 phospholipid bilayer membranes (ie, the outer nuclear membrane, which is continuous with the endoplasmic reticulum, and the inner nuclear membrane) and the nuclear lamina. The inner and outer nuclear membranes join at the nuclear pore complexes, which allow nuclear–cytoplasmic transport. Underlying the inner nuclear membrane is the nuclear lamina, a dense protein network consisting mostly of lamin proteins and lamin-associated proteins. These lamin binding proteins help connect the lamina to the inner nuclear membrane and stabilize the lamina network in addition to connecting lamins to chromatin structures and gene regulatory components.

The nuclear interior is less well defined. Within the nucleoplasm, DNA is wound onto histones that are organized into chromatin fibers. These fibers in turn are organized into chromosomes that occupy distinct, nonrandom chromosome territories within the interphase nucleus.11 Nuclear structures such as nucleoli, Cajal bodies, and promyelocytic leukemia (PML) bodies are also present as distinct structural and functional elements, and these structures could be influenced by mechanical forces. Several structural proteins are found in the nuclear interior, eg, nucleoplasmic lamin A and lamin C proteins,12 nuclear actins,13 nuclear myosin,14 and nuclear spectrins.15 Despite the presence of these structural proteins in the nuclear interior, the existence of a structural, force-bearing nuclear matrix throughout the nuclear interior is a matter of open debate.11,16

Nuclear Lamins

Lamins are the main components of the nuclear lamina but also form stable structures in the nuclear interior. Lamins regulate and support protein complexes involved in gene expression,17 DNA replication, transcription and repair,18 nuclear positioning,17 and aging.19 Lamins are type V intermediate filament proteins divided into 2 different subtypes: A-type lamins, which are all products of alternative splicing from the LMNA gene; and B-type lamins encoded by 2 separate genes, LMNB1 and LMNB2.

A-type lamins, the most common of which are lamins A and C, are developmentally regulated proteins found in various levels in almost all differentiated cells, with high levels in skeletal and cardiac muscle.20–24 A-type lamins are absent in human embryonic stem cells and are present only after cells begin differentiation.25 Cells are able to survive and proliferate without A-type lamins,26 but mutations in the LMNA gene are responsible for a group of human diseases referred to as laminopathies (described in detail below). Mice deficient in lamin A and C (lnma−/−) develop severe muscular dystrophy and die prematurely at 6 to 8 weeks of age.27 Lamins A and C are in dynamic equilibrium between the nuclear lamina at the periphery and the nuclear interior28,29 and are hypothesized to modulate gene expression both at the nuclear periphery and interior.19,30,31 A-type lamins also play a major role in the maintenance of nuclear shape,19,32,33 stability,7,34 and structure.12,33,35 In contrast to the lnma−/− mice, transgenic mice expressing lamin C but not lamin A show no overt phenotype, indicating that lamin A might be dispensable, at least in the mouse.36 Also, nuclei from these lamin C–only mice show only slight alterations in shape and mechanics.33 These recent studies highlight the complexity associated with nuclear lamina composition based on differential expression of lamins A, B, and C.

B-type lamins are constitutively expressed in all cell types of metazoans.37 In contrast to A-type lamins, only a single disease has been attributed to the LMNB1 gene, namely an autosomal dominant leukodystrophy caused by gene duplication.38 Knockdown of B-type lamins is lethal in Caenorhabditis elegans39 and mice,40 suggesting that mutations in B-type lamins may be embryonic lethal. RNA interference gene silencing of LMNB1 and LMNB2 in cultured mammalian cells induces apoptosis,26 indicating that these genes are
essential to cell survival and not just organism survival. However, fibroblasts derived from a genetically engineered mouse with a severely truncated lamin B1 gene are viable but show severe nuclear blebbing and defects in interphase chromosome positioning and gene regulation.41

**Lamin Binding Proteins**

Inner nuclear membrane lamin binding proteins such as lamin B receptor, emerin, LAP2α, and MAN1 contain at least 1 transmembrane domain and a lamin binding domain.42 These lamin binding proteins are dynamic and interact with many different partners, which may provide the opportunity for changes in nuclear structure in response to biochemical and physical factors.35,43 Emerin, which has been shown to bind lamin A/C in vitro and in vivo, can directly interact with numerous other structural proteins such as actin and nephrin, as well as transcription factors such as Btf, GCL, and others.44 It is unlikely that emerin binds all of these proteins simultaneously; most likely, there is a dynamic association of emerin with different protein complexes. Given the large number of lamin binding proteins and their many interactions, there is a complex web of possible structural and transcriptional interactions associated with the lamin network in the nucleus.

Lamin binding proteins also help connect the lamina with peripheral DNA and chromatin and are involved in gene expression. Lamins can directly bind to naked DNA via 30- to 40-bp-long nonspecific segments.45 However, most lamin-DNA interactions occur via lamin binding proteins.46 As an example of many LEM domain proteins, the inner nuclear membrane-spanning protein emerin can bind A-type lamins and the protein BAF, which, in turn, directly interacts with double-stranded DNA, histone H3, histone H1.1, and possibly other transcription factors.

**Other Structural Proteins in the Nucleus**

Recently, a number of structural proteins that are traditionally considered typical components of the cytoskeleton have also been identified inside the nucleus. The existence of nuclear actin in particular is now widely accepted, although it remains unclear what structures actin forms inside the nucleus.47 Recent evidence suggests that aside from stores of globular actin, nuclear filamentous actin is primarily found as short oligomers.13 Nuclear actin does not stain with phalloidin, and it is hypothesized that nuclear actin may polymerize in a unique conformation,48 which is resistant to phalloidin labeling.49 The functions of nuclear actin are also poorly understood, but several data imply that actin is involved in transcription.50 Also, actin can bind lamins and lamin binding proteins,51 and electron microscopy of Xenopus oocyte nuclei shows actin oligomers interacting with nuclear pores and Cajal bodies at the nuclear periphery.52 These interactions suggest mechanical or structural function, but none has been determined yet. Interestingly, actin associated proteins such as protein 4.1,53 myosin,14 and αII-spectrin15 have recently been identified in the nucleus as well and might be implicated in movement of DNA within the nucleus. αIII-Spectrin binds lamin complexes54 and aids in DNA repair,55 but the mechanical function of αII-spectrin has not been elucidated. Other putative spectrin repeat proteins, such as nesprin proteins (also called myne or syne), are also found at the nuclear envelope, as discussed in the sections below.

**Chromatin**

Chromatin, a complex of mainly DNA and histone proteins, is the major component of the nuclear interior and is critical to pack the approximately 2 meters of DNA (in humans) into a nucleus of 5 to 20 μm in diameter. At least 3 architectural motifs have been characterized in higher-order organization of interphase chromatin56,57: (1) 30-nm fibers and other configurations resulting from nucleosome packing and stacking; (2) loops of chromatin fibers ranging in size from several kilobase pairs to >10-Mbp able to interact with distant genome regions; (3) particular areas of the genome that are tethered to scaffolding structures like the nuclear lamina or the nucleolus. Chromatin is further organized into chromosomes, each ranging in size from 51 to 245 Mbp, that occupy nonrandom chromosome territories in the interphase nucleus.11

Chromatin itself is not homogeneous, and chromatin structure, location, and function are correlated. Heterochromatin is densely packed chromatin, which usually reflects modifications of DNA, histones and other DNA binding proteins, and is typically transcriptionally inactive. Heterochromatin is often located at the periphery of the nucleus or close to the nucleolus; both of these genome regions present low activity in gene expression. Several specific proteins and characteristic histone modifications present in heterochromatin are responsible for silencing genes.58 Conversely, euchromatin is gene rich with more transcriptional activity and is often located at the nuclear interior in more open chromatin structures. Recent micropipette aspiration experiments suggest that open euchromatin structures are more deformable than tightly packed heterochromatin structures in embryonic stem cells and model systems,59 so one can imagine that external or intracellular forces could reorganize gene rich areas relatively easily.

**Nuclear Bodies and Intranuclear Structures**

Nucleoli, regions of ribosome biogenesis, are the largest subnuclear structures. Nucleoli are distinct structures within nuclei, but nucleolar proteins exist in dynamic equilibrium with the nucleoplasm with transition times on the order of seconds.60 Still, the fidelity of nucleolar structure appears to be driven by complex molecular interactions within the nucleolus.61 As such, nucleoli appear structurally and mechanically distinct within the nucleoplasm. Nucleoli can be visualized in nuclei in whole cells using atomic force microscopy, suggesting that they are stiffer than the surrounding nucleoplasm.62 Similarly, nucleoli appear as fluid structures which deform cohesively in cells which are deformed by micropipette aspiration, and they show permanent deformation under high stress.59 Although the importance of nucleolar stiffness is unknown, the compact nucleolar structure maintains its shape during short-term mechanical stress and can act as fiducial markers within the nucleoplasm to study subnuclear deformations.59,63

Cajal bodies, also called coiled bodies, are dynamic structures that associate with small nuclear ribonucleoproteins and...
nucleoli. Cajal bodies are regulated by cellular stresses such as heat shock, heavy metal exposure, viral infection, and DNA damage, and numerous stimuli can cause Cajal bodies to translocate within the nucleoplasm. PML bodies are involved with many aspects of nuclear function, including transcriptional regulation and senescence-associated changes in chromatin structure; PML bodies also respond to chemical cellular stresses, but many of their functions remain unclear. PML bodies are typically located close to transcriptionally active genes and associate with nuclear structural proteins. PML bodies increase in number and size in response to cellular mechanical stress and are, therefore, thought to be stress-responsive structures.

Laminopathies: Diseases Associated With Nuclear Structure

Many physiological functions of nuclear structure and organization have recently been elucidated by studying pathophysiological changes associated with human diseases involving mutations in nuclear envelope proteins. Laminopathies are diseases caused by mutations in the LMNA gene encoding lamin A and C. This group of more than 12 diseases includes Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy, limb girdle muscular dystrophy, dilated cardiomyopathy, Dunnigan-type familial partial lipodystrophy, and Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS) (see Worman and Bonne for a recent review). Even though lamin A and C are expressed in almost all differentiated cells, many of the laminopathies have tissue-specific phenotypes. To date, more than 200 mutations in the LMNA gene have been identified; most of these mutations are linked to muscular dystrophies, but some mutations have little or no effect on muscle tissue. Thus, it remains unclear how different mutations in the same protein can cause such a broad spectrum of diseases. The molecular mechanism underlying these diseases remains unclear, in part because the function of the nuclear envelope is not completely understood. Cells derived from laminopathy patients often have abnormally shaped nuclei and changes in chromatin organization. One proposition to explain at least some of the tissue-specific defects associated with laminopathies has been the “structural hypothesis,” which proposes that functional loss of lamin A and C could increase nuclear fragility and result in increased cell death in mechanically stressed tissue such as muscle. Indeed, muscle biopsies from Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy patients often show fragmented nuclei, and experiments on Lmna−/− mouse embryo fibroblasts show that these cells have decreased nuclear stiffness, increased nuclear fragility, and an increased sensitivity to mechanical strain. Conversely, HGPS is caused by a LMNA mutation that results in increased presence of wild-type and mutant lamin A at the nuclear envelope because of defective protein processing and results in stiffer, less compliant nuclei. Patients with HGPS have a severe premature aged phenotype in nearly all load-bearing tissues: cartilage, bone, skin, cardiovascular, etc, but they show only minimal or no defects in soft tissues such as the brain and internal organs. The presence of deficiencies in load-bearing tissues of organism-level mutations suggests the role of force in disease progression. However, laminas not only play an important role in nuclear structure and stability but also interact with several transcriptional regulators directly and indirectly, as discussed in the sections above. Through these interactions, lamins can modulate transcriptional regulation but also contribute to chromatin organization and epigenetic changes. Lmna−/− mouse embryo fibroblasts have altered proliferation, and Lmna−/− myoblasts have impaired differentiation. Similarly, HGPS nuclei show changes in interior chromatin organization, loss of heterochromatin condensation, and accumulation of DNA damage. Consequently, the “gene regulation hypothesis” proposes that altered interactions of these transcriptional regulators are responsible for the plethora of diseases. Importantly, the “structural hypothesis” and “gene regulation hypothesis” are not mutually exclusive and could, in fact, be interrelated through nuclear mechanotransduction. Experiments on Lmna−/− mouse embryo fibroblasts showed that these cells have reduced activation of mechanosensitive genes in response to mechanical strain and impaired transcriptional activation. Thus, changes in nuclear structure and function could contribute both to increased cellular sensitivity to mechanical strain and to altered transcriptional regulation. Furthermore, because the mechanical environment can direct stem cell differentiation, impaired mechanotransduction signaling could contribute to some of the differentiation defects seen in Lmna−/− myoblasts. Beyond the nucleus itself, lamins A and C and other nuclear envelope proteins are critical for physically connecting the nucleus to the surrounding cytoskeleton (see below for details).

 Taken together, these observations lead us to a more differentiated look at laminopathies based on the type and location of the particular LMNA mutations. Mutations affecting skeletal and cardiac muscle are often missense mutations that affect the stability of the protein or its ability to polymerize. Lmna−/− mice that completely lack A-type lamins develop severe muscular dystrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy, serving as an animal model for Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy. Loss of A-type lamins results in reduced nuclear stiffness and increased nuclear fragility, leading to increased cellular sensitivity to mechanical strain, which can cause further defects in nuclear-cytoskeletal coupling, mechanotransduction signaling, tissue regeneration, cell proliferation, and cell differentiation. However, the majority of human LMNA mutations linked to muscular dystrophies are autosomal dominant, suggesting dominant negative effects of those mutations. Interestingly, most mouse models (eg, LmnaH222P, LmnaN195K) require homozygous expression of the mutant lamin to elicit a phenotype, although a recent report indicates that haploinsufficiency in Lmna−/− mice results in late-onset dilated cardiomyopathy. Lmna−/− mice expressing a progerin construct show dose-dependent effects that can also be modulated by levels of wild-type lamin A and B-type lamin homopolymers and affect diffusional mobility of wild-type lamins. Photobleaching experiments of fluorescently labeled lamins reveal that most LMNA mutations increase the mobility of the protein, with the most severe effects seen in mutations in the central rod...
domain. Taken together, these findings suggest that at least some of the mutant lamins can modulate stability and polymerization of wild-type lamins and generally affect overall nuclear structure, stability, and function, providing a possible explanation for some of the dominant negative effects of specific lamin A/C mutations. Functional loss of lamin A/C that results in reduced nuclear stiffness could contribute to increased cellular sensitivity to mechanical stress, which, along with additional defects in nuclear-cytoskeletal coupling, mechanotransduction signaling, tissue regeneration, cell proliferation, and cell differentiation such as myotubes fusion, could result in the progressive muscular phenotypes seen in some laminopathies. Lamin mutations that do not affect the overall stability of lamin A/C itself or its polymerization dynamics but can alter specific lamin functions (eg, interaction with transcription factors) are likely responsible for some of the more specialized laminopathies such as familial partial lipodystrophy. Most of the mutations causing familial partial lipodystrophy are clustered together and alter the positive charge on the lamin tail immunoglobulin-like fold. Cells from HGPS patients have increased nuclear stiffness, changes in chromatin organization, and premature senescence, potentially altering stem cell maintenance and differentiation. Most recently, our group has demonstrated that increased cellular sensitivity to mechanical stress could also contribute to the development of arteriosclerosis in HGPS. Thus, the laminopathies can be thought of a spectrum of diseases, with particular phenotypes resulting from which specific lamin functions are perturbed by a particular mutation.

**Mechanical Properties of the Nucleus**

The above examples illustrate how tissue-level diseases can arise from mutations in nuclear structural proteins; these diseases also correlate with changes in nuclear shape, structure, and stiffness. The transmission of mechanical forces to the nuclear interior and the induced nuclear deformations, which consequently could directly or indirectly modulate gene transcription, depend on the mechanical properties of the whole nucleus and its physical connection to the surrounding cytoskeleton. Here, we discuss the normal mechanical properties of the interphase nucleus and explain which nuclear components are the major determinants of nuclear stability. For more details on methods for the methodologies involved in measuring nuclear mechanics (see the recent review by Lammerding et al).

Although the exact values for measurements of nuclear stiffness vary more than 2 orders of magnitude, ranging from as low as 0.1 to 10 kPa depending on the cell type and experimental method chosen, most studies agree that the interphase nucleus is significantly stiffer than the surrounding cytoplasm. For example, parallel plate compression experiments revealed an effective elasticity of endothelial nuclei of 8 kPa compared with 0.5 kPa for the cytoplasm. Micropipette aspiration studies of chondrocyte nuclei yielded static elastic moduli from 1 to 5 kPa, with data best fit by a 3-parameter viscoelastic model. Other studies of nuclear mechanics by micropipette aspiration have also found the nucleus of human HeLa cells to be viscoelastic. In the former study, the HeLa nuclei behaved as viscoelastic solids; in the latter experiments, the nuclei were found to have a more complex viscoelastic rheology. These differences in mechanics may reflect differential nuclear organization, such as altered lamin A/C densities at the nuclear envelope or interior and/or changes in chromatin organization.

Our present understanding is that lamins provide a majority of the structural and mechanical support of the lamina and the overall nucleus. Lamin binding proteins can further stabilize the lamina and connect it to nuclear membrane and chromatin structures. The lamina has been shown to act as a stiff, load-bearing element necessary for the structural integrity of the nucleus. Nuclei assembled in lamin-depleted Xenopus egg extracts are highly fragile and nuclei from mouse Imma-/- cells are mechanically weak. In vitro rheology measurements of reconstituted lamin B1 filament solutions show these filaments to behave as stiff but elastic materials that display strain hardening and have mechanical strength comparable to that of other intermediate filaments. Direct mechanical measurements of Xenopus oocyte nuclei also show the in situ, organized lamina to act as a stiff, elastic 2D network. Whereas lamins and chromatin most likely both contribute to nuclear stiffness, alteration of lamin concentration, particularly of A-type lamins, is suggested to modulate nuclear mechanics. Our recent studies have shown that A-type lamins are the main contributors to nuclear stiffness, whereas loss of lamin B1 results in increased nuclear blebbing but no changes in nuclear stiffness. Similarly, only expression of ectopic lamin A, but not lamin B1, restored nuclear stiffness in Imma-/- mouse embryoid fibroblasts. These and other studies suggest that A- and B-type lamins may form distinct networks with specific structural differences.

In addition to the nuclear lamina, the nuclear interior also contributes to the mechanical behavior of the nucleus. Nuclear lamins, particularly A-type lamins, are also found in the nuclear interior and exchange with the nuclear lamina. The presence of these internal lamins and lamin binding proteins such as LAP2a could provide structure and organization within the nucleoplasm. Chromatin itself is also thought to provide structure and mechanical stability to the nucleus. Chromatin structures, which are highly entangled and interconnected, have a more viscous nature or “flow” than the lamina network, which tends to stretch elastically. Chromatin will also deform plastically, ie, permanently, under high mechanical stress. The role of chromatin organization (ie, heterochromatin versus euchromatin) in nuclear mechanics has not yet been mechanistically studied, but alterations in chromatin by divalent salts or upregulation of heterochromatin proteins appear to both reduce chromatin movements inside the nucleus and stiffen the chromatin.

**Proposed Mechanisms of Nuclear Mechanotransduction**

Knowledge of nuclear mechanical properties allows a quantitative assessment of nuclear deformation in response to a given force. With the stiffness of nuclear components roughly defined as in the sections above, the next step is to determine
the physiological forces acting on the nucleus. Typically, these forces arise from forces acting on the extracellular matrix or from intracellular processes (e.g., actin–myosin interactions) and are thought to be transmitted to the nucleus via the cell’s cytoskeleton.

Transmission of Forces to the Nucleus: Cytoskeletal–Nuclear Connectivity

The organization of the cell cytoskeleton is known to actively participate in the ability of cells to sense and convert mechanical stresses to biological responses. In general, the cytoskeleton is composed of 3 distinct components: actin microfilaments, microtubules, and intermediate filaments. The actin cytoskeleton is thought to provide contractile forces and compressive bearing microtubules to form a polarized network allowing organelle and protein movement throughout the cell. Intermediate filaments provide added structure reinforcement. These structural features act together to provide cell shape, support, and mechanical integrity and are necessary for cell motility and division. The cytoskeleton has complex viscoelastic properties, reflective of its complex and heterogeneous composition and organization.

The cell is anchored to the extracellular matrix through focal adhesions, discrete complexes consisting of membrane spanning integrins and other proteins such as focal adhesion kinase, talin, and vinculin, which allow the cells to “communicate” with the extracellular matrix. After the establishment of focal adhesions, interconnected actin fibers become stressed through the action of actin associated molecular motors. Cell adhesion, shape, motility, and differentiation can be mediated by the stiffness of the extracellular matrix and formation of focal adhesions. Thus, the properties of the extracellular matrix, including its mechanical character, are transmitted via focal adhesions to the cytoskeletal network of a cell.

As discussed above, experimental evidence has demonstrated that lamin structures play pivotal roles as structural elements in the maintenance of normal nuclear mechanics and cell mechanotransduction, where the role of A-type lamins seems to be more influential than B-type lamins. Several experimental findings suggest that A-type lamin expression can also affect the mechanical properties of the cytoplasm and the organization of cytoskeletal elements. Myocytes isolated from Lmna−/− mice have a considerable decrease of connectivity between desmin intermediate filaments and the nuclear surface, which is associated with dramatic alterations in the overall cell shape. Lmna−/− cells have considerable perturbations in the organization of actin-, vimentin-, and tubulin-based filaments. Additionally, the cytoplasmic rheology of Lmna−/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts is similar to that of wild-type cells in which actin and microtubules have been chemically disrupted. These studies all suggest that there are substantial physical interactions between the nucleoskeleton and the actin, intermediate filament, and microtubule cytoskeletal components. Functionally, cytoskeletal alterations in Lmna−/− cells result in mislocalized microtubule organizing centers and altered cell migration speed.

Physical connections between the cytoskeleton and the nuclear envelope provide a mechanism to transmit extracellular and cytoskeletal forces to the nucleus that is critical for nuclear mechanotransduction. The Figure provides an overview of our present understanding of nuclear-cytoskeletal coupling. SUN1 and SUN2 are inner nuclear membrane proteins that contain the Sad1-UNC homology domain (SUN) that is extended into the perinuclear space between the inner and outer nuclear membranes. On the nucleoplasmic side, SUN proteins can interact with lamins, nuclear pore complexes, and other proteins, which are yet unknown. Nesprin proteins can bind to SUN proteins across the perinuclear space through a highly conserved C-terminal KASH domain (Klarsicht, Anc-1, Syne homology) consisting of a transmembrane domain and a luminal domain that interacts with SUN1/2. Recent findings suggest that mutations in the nuclear envelope proteins nesprin-1 and -2 could also contribute to Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy. Although some smaller nesprin-1 and -2 isoforms are localized at the inner nuclear membrane and bind directly to lamin A, many nesprin isoforms, including nesprin-3 and larger isoforms of nesprin-1 and -2, are outer nuclear membrane proteins. The largest isoforms of nesprin-1 and -2 contain N-terminal actin binding domains, whereas nesprin-3 contains a site that binds to plectin, which stably associates to intermediate filaments. This protein complex, formed by the association of SUN proteins and nesprin proteins that allows a physical connection between the intermediate filament/actin cytoskeleton and the nucleoplasm via A-type lamins, is aptly named the LINC (linker of nucleus and cytoskeleton) complex. Other lamin-associated proteins, such as the inner nuclear membrane protein emerin, have been proposed to be an active component of the LINC complex. Emerin stably interacts with lamins, chromatin, and inner nuclear membrane nesprins. In emerin-deficient cells, the nucleus is abnormally shaped and there are other deficiencies in cellular mechanotransduction. Removal of other inner nuclear membrane lamin-associated proteins such as LEM2 also result in severely altered nuclear morphology, but the mechanism has not been determined. The above findings suggest that there are several, possibly redundant, protein complexes which can connect the cytoskeleton to the nucleoskeleton.

Several lines of evidence also suggest direct connections between microtubules and the nuclear envelope. Microtubules directly interact with the nuclear envelope during nuclear envelope breakdown and may mechanically facilitate envelope rupture and cells treated with the microtubule depolymerizing drug nocodazole are deficient in the late stages of nuclear envelope breakdown. Direct coupling of microtubules to the nuclear envelope is further supported by recent findings that in cells lacking either emerin or A-type lamins, the microtubule organizing center is often detached from the nucleus. In addition, it was recently shown that emerin can directly interact with β-tubulin and thus serve as a docking element of the centrosome. Other groups suggest that physical coupling between the nucleus and microtubules could be mediated by interactions of nesprins with kinesin motor proteins. Microtubules are known to interact with...
actin and intermediate filaments via cross-linker and/or motor proteins, so it is possible that observed changes in the localization of the microtubule organizing center in Lmna/H11002 cells could be indirect consequences of alterations in the organization of actin and intermediate filaments.

**How Does Force Affect the Nucleus?**

Forces imposed on the cell surface, such as during flow, result in cell responses including the reorganization of cytoskeletal elements (actin microfilaments, intermediate filaments, microtubules) and nuclear structures away from the region of applied force. These observations suggest that mechanotransduction can be mediated by integrated elements of the cytoskeleton and may or may not be a localized phenomenon because of the complexity of percolated and interconnected cytoskeletal networks.

Even though the nucleus is the stiffest cellular organelle and is 2 to 10 times stiffer than the surrounding cytoskeleton, extracellular forces and strain result in clearly detectable nuclear deformations. In the case of cell monolayers exposed to fluid shear stress, the nucleus itself is exposed to significant amounts of force. Computational studies suggest that reordering of vascular endothelial cells in the direction of flow, as is seen in vitro and in vivo, could be explained by minimizing the force acting on the nucleus. In addition to these observations of passive changes in nuclear shape and structure, there have also been studies showing the mechanical adaptation of nuclei to shear flow, suggesting that cells actively change nuclear structural elements in response to force. Micropipette aspiration of isolated nuclei show that nuclei exposed to shear stress have a reduced height and increased stiffness compared with nonsheared controls. Atomic force microscopy has also been used to investigate the elastic modulus of nuclei in whole cells and similarly found that nuclei in sheared cells are stiffer than control nuclei. However, the molecular mechanism for this shear-induced stiffening of nuclear structure that persists after nuclear isolation remains unclear.

**Nuclear Shape and Cell Specialization**

The cell nucleus is typically spheroidal or ellipsoid. However, because of changes in expression of structural and binding proteins, some specialized cells undergo dramatic changes in nuclear shape during differentiation and maturation. For example, spermatids have extremely elongated nuclei. Also, neutrophils develop extremely lobulated nuclei, which is associated with loss of lamin A/C and expression of lamin B receptor. Human embryonic stem cells have large, round nuclei, very mobile chromatin and express no A-type lamins. As cells differentiate, changes in cell phenotype are correlated with reduction in chromatin movement as measured by histone mobility, upregulation of A-type lamin components, and changes in nuclear shape and stiffness. Thus, as many cells specialize, one can observe concomitant changes in nuclear shape and structure as well as cellular function and phenotype. The functional changes may arise through...
from modifications in chromatin structure that increase the accessibility of specialized genes necessary for differentiation, or, conversely, reduce accessibility of “unnecessary” genes to transcription factors. In many cases, one can also speculate that adaptations in nuclear shape and structure are directly related to the functionality of the cell; for example, more deformable lobulated nuclei in neutrophils allow increase intercellular translocation.

Studies focusing on nuclear shape and structure have revealed strong correlations between shape change and changes in cellular phenotype. By controlling the cellular environment with microfabricated patterning, Thomas et al showed that collagen synthesis correlated more strongly with nuclear shape than with cell shape.136 This correlative behavior becomes even more striking when pathological states are observed. Aberrations in gross nuclear morphology, such as increase in nuclear size, changes in nuclear shape, and loss of nuclear domains, are often used to identify cancerous tissue.145 One study of breast cancer cells, which are affected by their mechanical and structural environment, found a stronger correlation between a cancerous phenotype and nuclear morphology than cellular morphology and cancer.147 Many cancers correlate with changes in nuclear structural proteins. For example, lamin A and C are overexpressed in ovarian cancers compared with control cells, and increased levels of lamin B in prostate cancer strongly correlate with tumor differentiation.87 Importantly, changes in nuclear stiffness can serve as indicator for increased mobility of tumor cells and metastasis potential.149,150 As discussed earlier, decreased nuclear stiffness through the loss of lamin A/C and lobation may aid neutrophils and other cells to squeeze between endothelial cells during extravasation. These observed changes in nuclear shape may reflect changes in chromatin structure to modulate gene accessibility, differences in nuclear lamina composition that result in altered nuclear stiffness required for translocation, or both.

Conclusions
The above examples clearly illustrate that nuclear shape, structure, and/or stiffness strongly correlate with cellular function and phenotype in many physiological and pathological situations, particularly when force is involved. However, even with the wealth of information available on the connectivity of force-bearing elements in the cell and with the insight provided by laminopathies, transgenic and RNA interference studies, there is still little or no mechanistic understanding of the direct role of force on nuclear mechanotransduction. The complexities of the biological systems, our limited knowledge of the function and organization of many nuclear structural proteins, and the intimate connection of these proteins with the DNA itself make it difficult to decouple mechanistic events. For example, in HGPS, both mutant and wild-type laminas accumulate at the nuclear envelope, causing a stiffer nuclear lamina.32 However, the simultaneous reduction in lamin A in the nuclear interior leads to a loss of heterochromatin and other changes in chromatin organization.24 As such, it will be challenging to decouple phenotypic cellular changes resulting from a stiffened nuclear envelope from epigenetic changes.

Searching for Evidence: Can Forces on the Nucleus Directly Modulate Gene Transcription?
Currently there exist only limited and mostly anecdotal evidence that extracellular forces can directly affect gene transcription, eg, by extracellular force transmitted to the nucleus acting directly on DNA elements. There are some compelling examples where physical connections have been seen which connect extracellular integrins to subnuclear elements, and extracellular forces can be transmitted across the cytoskeleton to the nucleus, resulting in intranuclear deformations.59 Inside the nucleus, these forces could result in conformation changes of the DNA double helix or higher order chromatin structure, which could then lead to changes in transcriptional activity. On the single molecule and molecular level, experiments examining mechanics of purified DNA, chromatin, and chromosomes have shown that force can induce remodeling and disassembly, which may be required for transcription (see reviews on DNA, chromatin, and chromosome mechanics). Force-induced conformational changes could further alter accessibility of chromatin and genes to transcription factors. Present imaging technology does not yet allow for direct visualization of force induced changes in DNA and chromatin organization in living cells, but advances in single-molecule detection and imaging of transcription events in single cells may provide more direct evidence in the near future (see the recent review of high-resolution imaging in the nucleus).

In addition to direct effects of force on DNA structure, force-induced changes in nuclear shape could also result in large scale reorganization of genes within the nucleus. The shape and mechanics of the nucleus is known to adapt and reorder when cells are exposed to force.138,139 However, it remains unclear how the genes within the nucleus are subsequently reordered or if pockets of heterochromatin are altered by force or by lamin reorganization. Laminas are not only found at the nuclear periphery but also form intranuclear structures and can modulate chromatin organization. Several LMNA mutations are associated with loss of heterochromatin, and loss of lamin B1 can affect positioning of chromosome territories within the nucleus.41 Recent work suggests that a lamin B1-dependent nucleoskeleton is required for RNA synthesis in human cells.156 Laminas may play a significant role as epigenetic modifiers of nuclear structure and organization because recruitment of certain genes to the nuclear envelope (and conversion from euchromatin to heterochromatin) is generally considered a cellular mechanism of transcriptional regulation and gene silencing.11 Therefore, changes in nuclear stiffness measured in lamin-deficient and LMNA mutant cells could, in addition to changes in nuclear lamina organization, also reflect changes in intranuclear matrix and chromatin organization. Recent experiments confirm that gross epigenetic modifications during differentiation can be detected as changes in the mechanical properties of the
nucleus, clearly demonstrating a relationship between chromatin structure, gene regulation, and nuclear structure and stiffness.59 In these cases, altered gene regulation does not necessarily arise from changes in nuclear stiffness, but rather nuclear stiffness reflects changes in intranuclear organization and structure.

The challenge, thus, lies in the fact that nuclear stiffness is governed by both the lamina and the chromatin, which are inherently biologically and mechanically coupled. Also, even if we could conclude that lamins can directly affect force-induced gene expression, determining the underlying mechanism will prove difficult. Do disease causing mutations in lamins primarily allow changes in large-scale nuclear deformations by altering nuclear stiffness that causes increased conformational changes in DNA and chromatin organization, or can lamins molecularly modulate chromatin organization through their interaction with DNA and DNA processing mechanisms? We suggest that the answer will be a combination of these mechanisms based on the studies presented in this review. We are optimistic that cell-based top-down approaches and bottom-up in vitro experiments on force induced changes in DNA structure and function will converge to better elucidate the role in which force can directly modulate transcription of regions of DNA in the nucleus. The nuclear envelope, participating in cytoskeletal–nuclear force transmission and directly involved in chromatin organization, presents an important interface of the mechanical and biological domains.

Outlook

In the postgenomic era we look to the regulation and expression of the genome. With this comes the recognition that in addition to decoding the meaning of linear DNA sequences, 3D structure and organization of chromatin are critical components of nuclear gene regulation. Because extracellular forces are transmitted to the nucleus, where they can cause substantial deformations, it should be no surprise if these forces could directly or indirectly contribute to changes in chromatin structure and transcriptional activity. To date, the fact that mechanical force and extracellular mechanical environment are additional, and essential, criteria for regulating cell response has been recognized in many other aspects of cell biology. Hopefully, with further study, we will be able to better describe the direct mechanisms by which force interacts with the genome and how nuclear shape relates to mechanotransduction.
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